



CWP-19951-2024

1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(117)

CWP-19951-2024

Date of Decision : August 20, 2024

Amarjit Singh

.. Petitioner

Versus

Guru Nanak Dev University and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Pankaj Maini, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. M.K. Dogra, Advocate, with
Mr. Ankush Sharma, Advocate, for respondents No. 1 and 2.**HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)**

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is working with the respondents as Gatekeeper-cum- Carpenter on consolidated salary but his services are not being regularized though, in the case of the similarly situated employees, while passing order in ***CWP No.11937 of 2015 titled as Satnam Singh and another vs. State of Punjab and others, on 23.04.2024*** by this Court, directions have been issued to consider the claim for regularization of the petitioners therein, which benefit is not being extended to the petitioner on the ground that the petitioner was not party to the said writ petition.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the grievance raised in the present petition has already been raised by the

**CWP-19951-2024****2**

petitioner in the representation dated 09.08.2024 (Annexure P-6) which is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied at this stage in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondents to decide the said representation by passing an appropriate speaking order.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. M.K. Dogra, Advocate, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No. 1 and 2.

5. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in case the representation dated 09.08.2024 (Annexure P-6) has been received in the office of the concerned authorities and the same is still pending consideration with the authorities concerned, the same will be decided by the competent authority within a period of eight weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of this order by passing an appropriate speaking order and in case, after the decision any relief is to be extended to the petitioner, the same will be extended, otherwise due reasons will be mentioned in the speaking order to be passed for not accepting the claim of the petitioner for his information and necessary action.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned counsel for the respondents, the present writ petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

7. Ordered accordingly.

August 20, 2024
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No