

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

322

CRM-M-39958-2024 (O&M)  
Date of Decision:- 21.10.2024

JAGRAJ SINGH AND OTHERS

....Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present : Ms. Rajni Bala Rohilla, Advocate for  
Mr. A.S. Barnala, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Iqbalpreet Singh, AAG Punjab.

Mr. P.S. Dhaliwal, Advocate for respondents No.2 to 5.

\*\*\*\*\*

**SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)**

By way of present petition filed under Section 528 of Bharatiya  
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, petitioners seek quashing of FIR  
(Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the  
basis of compromise/settlement dated 14.06.2024 (Annexure P-2) executed  
between the parties. Details of the FIR are as follows:

| FIR No. | Dated      | Sections                    | Police Station               |
|---------|------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|
| 19      | 05.04.2014 | 341, 323, 506, 148, 149 IPC | Bhadaur, District<br>Barnala |

2. With the intervention of respectables and elderly people of the  
society, the parties have arrived at a settlement vide compromise deed dated  
14.06.2024 (Annexure P-2), which is duly signed by them. The matter was  
referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and to  
report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the



parties. The learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Barnala vide report dated 11.10.2024 has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure. The petitioners have also deposited the cost of Rs.20,000/- in compliance to the order dated 17.09.2024.

3. Respondents No. 2 to 5 are represented by their counsel and do not oppose the compromise.

4. In view of the aforesaid report of the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Barnala and in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in “Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another”, 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and “Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another”, (2014) 6 SCC 466, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping the criminal proceedings pending, since the complainant has himself compromised the dispute with the petitioners/accused persons.

5. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. FIR No.19 dated 05.04.2014, under Sections 341, 323, 506, 148, 149 of IPC registered at Police Station Bhadaur, District Barnala (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed on the basis of compromise qua the present petitioners.

(SANJIV BERRY)  
JUDGE

21.10.2024

S.Sharma(syr)

- |     |                            |        |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i)  | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable?        | Yes/No |