



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

221

CRM-M-40019-2024 (O&M)
Date of decision: 20.09.2024

SUNNY

..PETITIONER

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Ms. Mehak, Advocate for
Mr. Angrej Kumar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Vikas Bhardwaj, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Shivam Sachdeva, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR, J (ORAL)

1. This petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure seeking quashing of FIR No.744 dated 28.11.2023 under Sections 363/366 of IPC (Section 467/468/471 of IPC added later on) registered at Police Station Sector 10, District Gurugram (Annexure P-1) and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom in view of the compromise dated 07.08.2024 (Annexure P-3).
2. The following order was passed on 20.08. 2024:-

“This petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking quashing of FIR No.744 dated 28.11.2023 under Sections 363/366 of IPC (Sections 467/468/471 of IPC added later on) registered at Police Station Sector 10, District Gurugram (Annexure P-1) along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated



07.08.2024 (Annexure P-3) and an affidavit dated 07.08.2024 (Annexure P-4).

Notice of motion for 20.09.2024.

At this stage, on the asking of the Court, Ms. Geeta Sharma, DAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1-State and Ms. Sunita Gupta, Advocate accepts notice for respondents No.2 & 3 and files her vakalatnama and admits to the factum of compromise. Copy of the paper book be supplied to them during the course of day.

Service is complete.

In the meanwhile, the parties are directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate within two weeks from today or any other date convenient to the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate, to get their statements recorded regarding compromise and after recording their statements, learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate is directed to send report regarding the genuineness of compromise and also to intimate whether any PO proceedings are pending against any of the party on or before the date fixed i.e. 20.09.2024.

A copy of the order be sent to learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate through fax for compliance”.

3. In compliance of the above order, a report has been received from the concerned jurisdictional Court that the compromise between the parties is genuine and arrived at without any pressure or coercion from anyone.

4. In view of the compromise and the ratio of law laid down by the Hon’ble Supreme Court in **Narinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another, (2014) 6 SCC 466, Ramgopal and another Vs. State of Madhya Pradesh 2021 SCC OnLine SC 834 and Shakuntala Sawhney (Mrs) Vs. Kaushalya (Mrs.) and others (1980) 1 SCC 63** and Full Bench of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab**

2007 (3) RCR (Crl.) 1052, this petition is allowed and FIR No.744



dated 28.11.2023 under Sections 363/366 of IPC (Section 467/468/471 of IPC added later on) registered at Police Station Sector 10, District Gurugram (Annexure P-1) and all subsequent proceedings arising out of the same are quashed, qua the petitioner in view of compromise dated 07.08.2024 (Annexure P-3).

20.09.2024
joyti

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether reportable : No