



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-39938-2024
Date of decision: 10.09.2024

MANDEEP KAUR AND OTHERS**PETITIONERS**

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER ...RESPONDENTS

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present: - Mr. H.K. Brinda, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Ankit Grewal, DAG, Punjab.

Ms. Ruby Kaur, Advocate for respondent No.2.

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

By way of present petition filed under Section 482 of Cr.P.C. petitioners seek quashing of Rapat Number/DDR No.28 dated 10.03.2024 under Sections 323, 324, 506 and 34 of IPC registered at P.S. Mukerian, District Hoshiarpur (Annexure P-3) in cross case FIR (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 25.04.2024 (Annexure P-2) executed between the parties. Details of the FIR (Annexure P-1) are as follows:

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
28	09.03.2024	323, 324 and 506 of IPC	Mukerian, District Hoshiarpur.

2. With the intervention of respectables and elderly people of the society, the parties have arrived at a settlement vide compromise deed dated 25.04.2024 (Annexure P-2), which is duly signed by them. The matter was referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties. Learned Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Mukerian, vide report



CRM-M-39938-2024

2

dated 03.09.2024 has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure. The petitioners have also deposited cost of Rs.10,000/- in compliance of order dated 21.08.2024.

3. Respondent No. 2 is represented by his counsel and does not oppose the compromise.

4. In view of the report of the learned Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Mukerian, and in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in “Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another”, 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and “Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another”, (2014) 6 SCC 466, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping the criminal proceedings pending, since the complainant has himself compromised the dispute with the petitioners/ accused persons.

5. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. DDR No. 28 dated 10.03.2024 under Sections 323, 324, 506 and 34 of IPC, registered at Police Station Mukerian, District Hoshiarpur, and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed on the basis of compromise qua the present petitioners.

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

10.09.2024
Kanika

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |