



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

**Sr. No.133**

**CWP-19679-2024**

**Date of decision: 13.08.2024**

Mohammad Sultan and others

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK MANCHANDA**

Present: Dr. Pankaj Nanhera, Advocate and  
Mr. Paramvir Singh Doon, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Sanjeev Kaushik, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

\* \* \*

**DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)**

1. On the oral request made by learned counsel for the petitioners Municipal Corporation, Faridabad, through its Commissioner, is ordered to be impleaded as respondent No.3.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that at this stage, he would be satisfied if directions are issued to respondent No.3 – Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Faridabad to consider and take a final decision on representation dated 02.08.2024 (Annexure P-8) made by the petitioners.

3. Learned State counsel, who appears on advance notice, has no objection if the above prayer is accepted.

4. Accordingly, at this stage, without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3 to take a decision on the aforesaid representation made by



**CWP-19679-2024**

**-2-**

the petitioners, by passing speaking order(s) and affording opportunity of hearing to the petitioners within one week from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

5. Needless to add that the decision so taken be communicated to the petitioners and if the same is not to the satisfaction of the petitioners, they would be at liberty to challenge the same, in accordance with law.

**(DEEPAK SIBAL)  
JUDGE**

**(DEEPAK MANCHANDA)  
JUDGE**

**August 13, 2024**  
*Jyoti 1*

|                           |        |
|---------------------------|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable        | Yes/No |