



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

216

CRM-M-39808-2024

Date of decision: 25.11.2024

Ajay Kochhar

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present : Mr. Navkiran Singh, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Navdeep Singh, DAG, Punjab.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking the concession of anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023 in case FIR No.69 dated 13.07.2024 under Sections 22, 25, 29, 61, 85 of the NDPS Act, registered at Police Station Maqboolpura, District Amritsar.

2. On the last date of hearing, while noticing the following submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, this Court had granted the concession of interim bail to the petitioner and asked him to join investigation:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner, inter alia, contends that petitioner has been nominated as an accused on the basis of a disclosure statement allegedly suffered by co-accused Surjit Singh from whom a recovery of 400 tablets of tramadol were allegedly affected. It has been submitted that the petitioner has no criminal antecedents much less being booked for a case under the NDPS Act.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in

compliance of order dated 14.08.2024, the petitioner has joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency.

4. Learned State counsel, on instructions does not dispute the factum of the petitioner having joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency. He, on further instructions, submits that the petitioner is not required for further investigation much less for his custodial interrogation.

5. In view of the above, the present anticipatory bail is allowed and interim order dated 14.08.2024, is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 482(2) of the BNSS.

25.11.2024.
anil

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

:

Yes/No

Whether reportable

:

Yes/No