

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

2024:PHHC:157018



(207)

Faljeet Singh

Versus

CRM-M-39492-2024

Date of Decision: 27.11.2024

--Petitioner

State of Punjab

--Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GURVINDER SINGH GILL.

Present:- Ms. Palvi, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Siddharth Attri, AAG, Punjab assisted by
ASI Chamkaur Singh.

FIR	Dated	Police Station	Sections
01	3.1.2023	Kotwali Bathinda, District Bathinda	419, 420, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B of IPC

GURVINDER SINGH GILL.J (Oral)

1. The petitioner seeks grant of anticipatory bail in respect of the
aforementioned FIR.
2. At the time of issuance of notice of motion, the following order was
passed on 13.08.2024:-

“The petitioner seeks grant of anticipatory bail in respect of a case registered vide FIR No.01, dated 3.1.2023 at Police Station Kotwali, Bathinda, District Bathinda, under Sections 419, 420, 467, 468, 471 and 120- B of Indian Penal Code.

The FIR was lodged at the instance of Harvinder Kaur Brar, wherein it is alleged that her husband is a retired professor and that she alongwith her husband are residing in Bathinda while their children are abroad. The complainant alleged that on 21.11.2022 she received a cheque book at her

house though she had not opened any such account in the bank in question. When the complainant and her husband visited the bank on the very next day and made inquiries, they came to know that some lady, after tampering with ID proofs i.e. PAN Card & Aadhar Card and by affixing her photograph and by putting her signatures had managed to open an account, wherein mobile No.98729-15473 was got registered.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that neither he is named in the FIR nor is there anything else to connect him with the alleged opening of bank account and has been nominated as an accused solely on the basis of a disclosure statement made by co-accused Rajinder Singh, the admissibility and veracity of which would be debatable.

Notice of motion for 27.11.2024.

Meanwhile, in the event of arrest, the petitioner be released on interim bail subject to his furnishing personal bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. However, the petitioner shall join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and cooperate with the Investigating Officer and shall also abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.”

3. Learned State counsel, upon instructions has informed that pursuant to interim directions, the petitioner has since joined investigation and he is not required for any custodial interrogation. It has also been informed that the petitioner is not involved in any other case.
4. Having regard to the aforestated position, wherein the petitioner is stated to have joined investigation and is not required for any custodial interrogation, the petition is accepted and the interim directions issued by this Court vide order dated 13.08.2024 are hereby made absolute, subject to the condition that the petitioner shall join investigation as and when called upon to do so and

cooperate with the Investigating Officer and shall also abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

(GURVINDER SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

27.11.2024

lucky	Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
	Whether Reportable:	Yes/No