



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-8010-2017(O&M)
Date of decision: 09.09.2024

Surinder Singh Nagi
... Petitioner
Versus
State of Punjab and another
... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL

Present: Mr. Sandeep Khunger, Advocate, and
Mr. Saksham Khunger, Advocate,
for the petitioner.
Mr. Vipin Pal Yadav, DAG, Punjab.
Ms. Shivani Sharma, Advocate,
for respondent No.2.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

A short reply by way of affidavit of Estate Officer (Plots), Greater Mohali Area Development Authority (GMADA), dated July 29, 2024, has since been submitted. With reference to the averments set out in para 2 thereof, learned counsel for respondent No.2 submits that in compliance to the order dated July 31, 2012, passed by this Court in CWP-4772-2012 (**Surinder Singh Nagi v. State of Punjab and others**), the entire outstanding dues against the allottee, namely, Smt. Amarjit Kaur w/o Surinder Singh Nagi, were re-calculated. And the requisite amount i.e. Rs.4,268/-, had also been remitted by the petitioner (husband of the original allottee). Thus, nothing substantive survives in the petition.

To this, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that all the outstanding dues stand cleared, and the petitioner, successor-in-interest of the



original allottee, has already moved the authority for issuance of No Dues Certificate on August 27, 2024. Therefore, the respondent(s) be directed to issue the same at the earliest.

To which, learned counsel for respondent No.2 submits that before No Dues Certificate is issued, the petitioner shall have to move the respondent(s) to transfer the subject site/plot in his name and after the necessary formalities in this regard are carried out, the competent authority would issue NOC. She submits that in the event, any such application is moved, the same shall be taken cognizance of by the competent authority, and shall be dealt with, in accordance with law, without any further delay.

In the wake of the position sketched out above, as also the statements made by learned counsel for the parties, the petition is accordingly disposed of.

(Arun Palli)
Judge

(Vikram Aggarwal)
Judge

09.09.2024
Rajan

Whether speaking / reasoned:
Whether Reportable:

YES/NO
YES/NO