

1

AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-19911-2024

Date of Decision : August 20, 2024

.. Petitioner

Versus

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Yashpal Thakur, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Charan Preet Singh, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that the petitioner is not being paid her salary since last 10 months, which is causing prejudice to the petitioner.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though the petitioner is performing the duties but no salary is being paid and that too without any valid justification and therefore, the respondents are under an obligation to grant the petitioner the benefit of salary.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the grievance raised in the present petition has already been raised by the petitioner in the representation dated 01.07.2024 (Annexure P-5) which is

**CWP-19911-2024 2**

still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied at this stage in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondents to decide the said representation dated 01.07.2024 (Annexure P-5) by passing an appropriate speaking order.

4. Notice of motion.

5. Mr. Charan Preet Singh, learned Assistant Advocate General, Punjab, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

6. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in case the representation dated 01.07.2024 (Annexure P-5) has been received in the office of the concerned authorities and the same is still pending consideration with the authorities concerned, the same will be decided by the competent authority within a period of eight weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of this order by passing an appropriate speaking order and in case, after the decision any relief is to be extended to the petitioner, the same will be extended, otherwise due reasons will be mentioned in the speaking order to be passed for not accepting the claim of the petitioner for her information and necessary action.

7. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present writ petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

8. Ordered accordingly.

August 20, 2024
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No