



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

231

CWP No.829 of 2021 (O&M)
Date of decision: 18.09.2024

Kulwinder Kaur

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Surya Kumar, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Shubham Thakur, Advocate
and Mr. Alok Mittal, Advocate for respondent No.2.

NAMIT KUMAR J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed by the petitioner
claiming the following reliefs:-

*“i) Civil Writ Petition under Article 226/227 of the
Constitution of India for the issuance of an appropriate
writ in the nature of Certiorari for quashing the order
dated 25.07.2019 (Annexure P-14) whereby the
respondent(s) has decline to provide pension and other
related benefits to the petitioner inspite of the fact that
petitioner has served the department for the period of 31
years being regular employee.*

*ii) Civil Writ Petition under Article 226/227 of the
Constitution of India for the issuance of an appropriate
writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondent(s)
to provide pension and other related benefits to the
petitioner to save the breach of fundamental rights of the*



petitioner and also in view of the services rendered by petitioner to the department for the period of 31 years.

iii) Civil Writ Petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for the issuance of an appropriate writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondent to consider the period of service of petitioner as her regular services period and considered him as regular employee in the department in view of the policy/instruction dated 25.12.2006 (Annexure P-17) issued by Govt. of Punjab.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner is not present.

Learned counsel for respondent No.2 has produced a copy of the order dated 16.09.2024, whereby the services of the petitioner have been regularized on the post of Nurse Dai w.e.f. 10.04.2006 and she has been considered retired from service from 31.03.2017 and shall also be entitled to all the retiral benefits. Learned counsel for respondent No.2 further submits that all the retiral benefits of the petitioner have been released.

In view of the above, the instant petition has been rendered infructuous and the same is disposed of accordingly.

However liberty is granted to the petitioner that if any amount is still due, she shall be at liberty to submit a detailed representation to the respondents and the same shall be considered by them expeditiously.

(NAMIT KUMAR)
JUDGE

18.09.2024

yakub

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether reportable:

Yes/No