



Date of Decision : 21-08-2024

NIRMAL SINGH AND OTHERSPetitioner(s)

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....Respondent(s)

2. CWP-20096-2024 (O&M)

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....Respondent(s)

Present: Mr. Vivek Aggarwal, Advocate with
Mr. J.P.S Sidhu, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. T.P.S Chawla, Sr. DAG Punjab.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (Oral)

Present set of two writ petitions involve common question of law in the background of common set of facts and thus they are being decided by a common order.

In the present set of petitions, the petitioners are seeking Government employment in pursuance of the policy dated 08.11.2011 (Annexure P-1) issued by the State Government against the land acquired for Peona Power Plant Gobindpura.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submit that as per the policy dated 08.11.2011 (Annexure P-1), the petitioners are not being given the job despite their entitlement even when the claim of the similarly situated employees have already been upheld by the Co-ordinate Bench of this Court while passing order in CWP No.3398 of 2018 titled as ***“Gaganpreet Singh Vs. State of Punjab and ors.”*** decided on 02.05.2024.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submit that the grievance which has been raised in the present petition has also been raised by way of representation dated 18.06.2024, copy of which has been appended as Annexure P-20 in CWP No.20096 of 2024 and representation dated 22.07.2024, copy of which has been appended as Annexure P-19 in CWP No.20023 of 2024 and the petitioners would be satisfied at this stage, if direction is issued to the respondents to decide the same in the time bound manner and shall decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Notice of motion.

Mr. T.P.S Chawla, Sr. DAG Punjab appears and accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

Learned State counsel submits that in case the representations (Annexure P-19 and P-20) dated 22.07.2024 and 18.06.2024 respectively have been received in the concerned office, an appropriate speaking order on the same would be passed by respondents within a period of 8 weeks of the

receipt of copy of this order. Learned State counsel further submits that in case, it is found feasible to accept the claim of the petitioners, the same will be accepted, otherwise due reasons will be mentioned for not accepting the claim of the petitioners in the speaking order to be passed, which will be conveyed to them.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submit that keeping in view the statement of the learned State counsel, present set of petition may kindly be disposed of as having been not pressed any further.

Ordered accordingly.

Pending application, if any, also stands disposed off.

Photocopy of this order be placed on the file of other connected case.

21-08-2024
Sapna Goyal

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

NOTE: Whether speaking: YES/NO
 Whether reportable: YES/NO