



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(238)

CWP No. 22956 of 2021
Date of Decision : 16.05.2024

Jagwanti Devi

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Shyam Singh Chhokar, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Harish Rathee, Sr. Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that the question of law raised in the present petition qua whether the employees of the Panchayat Samiti are entitled for the grant of pensionary benefits, has already been settled by this Court while passing order in CWP No. 8306 of 2015 titled as *Daya Rani Vs. State of Haryana and others*, decided on 07.03.2024 and the present petition be also disposed of in the same terms and conditions.

Learned State counsel has not been able to rebut the same that as per the judgment of the *Daya Rani's case (supra)*, the employees



of the Panchayat Samiti have been held entitled for the grant of pensionary benefits.

Keeping in view the above, the present petition is also disposed of in terms of *Daya Rani’s case (supra)*.

May 16, 2024
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No