

314 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-41277-2023 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 24.01.2024

RIMPY AND OTHERS

.....PETITIONERS

Vs.

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN

Present: None for the petitioners.

Mr. Kunwarbir Singh, A.A.G., Punjab

HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN J. (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing of FIR No. 253 dated 24.10.2018, registered at Police Station Rama Mandi, District Jalandhar, under Sections 323, 324, 452, 354, 148 and 149 of the IPC, on the basis of a compromise dated 02.06.2023 (Annexure P-2) between the parties.

2. It is alleged in the petition that a compromise dated 02.06.2023 (Annexure P-2) has been executed between the petitioners and respondent No. 2 (complainant). The FIR was registered at the instance of respondent No. 2 with the allegations that the accused persons have inflicted injuries to the complainant when she was present alone in the house.

3. On the last date of hearing, the following order was passed by this Bench:-

*“There are serious allegations in the FIR
(Annexure P-1) against petitioner No. 2-Suman about
selling of ‘Chitta’ and running a racket of prostitution.*

Though the parties have effected a compromise, let the history of petitioner No. 2-Suman be filed.

Adjourned to 24.01.2024.

4. Today, no one has put in appearance on behalf of the petitioners.
5. A status report, dated 24.01.2024 by way of an affidavit of Sh. Nirmal Singh, Assistant Commissioner of Police, Sub-Division Central, Jalandhar, has already been filed by learned State counsel. The same is taken on record.
6. In the aforesaid report, it is alleged that there is a history of previous two cases against petitioners No. 2, four cases against petitioner No. 4 and eight cases against petitioner No. 5.
7. Keeping in view the history of the petitioners, it is not a fit case where the discretionary power under Section 482 Cr.P.C. should be exercised to quash the petition on the basis of a compromise.
8. Consequently, the present petition stands dismissed for non-prosecution and on account of the previous history of the aforesaid petitioners.
9. Pending miscellaneous application (s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

January 24, 2024
nitin

(HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking

Whether reportable

Yes

No