

2024:PHHC:000340

[250]IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-41423-2023

Date of Decision : 04.01.2024

Vijay Mishra

...Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and another

....Respondents

Coram :

HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA

Present :

None for the petitioner.

Mr. Mohit Thakur, AAG, Punjab.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J. (ORAL)

[1] On the last date of hearing, the following order was passed:-

“Present : Ms. Avinash Mandla, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. P.S. Pandher, AAG, Punjab.

Respondent No.2 remains unserved as requisite process fee has not been filed by the petitioner as reported by the Registry.

Learned counsel for the petitioner requests for one more opportunity.

Let the needful be done within seven days positively and then, notice be issued to respondent No.2 for 04.01.2024.”

[2] Today, there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner. Even notice to respondent No.2 has not been issued for want of process fee as reported by the Registry.

[3] These circumstances indicate that the petitioner is no longer interested in pursuing the instant petition.

[4] Dismissed in default for want of prosecution as well as for want of compliance.

(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE

04.01.2024