



122

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-19608-2024

Date of Decision: 13.08.2024

Dulari Lal

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present: Mr. Aditya Yadav, Advocate
for the petitioner.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J. (ORAL)

1. The present writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of *certiorari* for quashing the revised ranking list dated 11.07.2024 (Annexure P-8) under the 25% quota for promotion on the post of JE of technical subordinate to the extent that the name of the petitioner has been removed without any prior notice or opportunity of personal hearing whereas in the pre revised ranking list dated 11.07.2024 (Annexure P-8) the name of the petitioner was at serial no.33, due to which the petitioner is not being promoted to the aforesaid post.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is working in the respondent-Nigam and the grievance of the petitioner is that his name has been removed from the revised ranking list dated 11.07.2024 (Annexure P-8) under the 25% quota for promotion on the post of JE of technical subordinate whereas in the pre revised ranking list his name was at serial no.33 due to which the petitioner is not being promoted



on the aforesaid post despite the fact that the petitioner is having the requisite qualifications. He submitted that at this stage, the petitioner will be satisfied in case his representation (Annexure P-9) is directed to be decided by passing a speaking order in accordance with law in a time bound manner.

3. Notice of motion.
4. On the asking of Court, Ms. Rajni Gupta, Addl. AG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and stated that she has no objection, in case, a direction is issued to the respondents for considering and deciding the representation filed by the petitioner vide Annexure P-9 in accordance with law.
5. In view of the aforesaid position, without calling for the reply from the respondents and without observing anything on the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to consider and decide the representation filed by the petitioner vide Annexure P-9 strictly in accordance with law by passing a well-reasoned speaking order within a period of four months from today.

13.08.2024

Bhumika

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE

1. Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
2. Whether reportable: Yes/No