



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

212

CRM-M-39594-2024

Date of Decision : **September 12, 2024**

ARSHDEEP SINGH

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present : Ms. Neha Bindal, Advocate for
Mr. Satbir Singh Gill, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Bhupender Singh, D.A.G., Haryana.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J. (Oral)

1. On 14.08.2024, this Court had passed the hereinafter extracted order, upon the instant petition:-

“Through the instant petition filed under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, prayer is made for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.0323 dated 3.7.2024, under Section 25(1-B)(a) of the Arms Act, registered at Police Station Dabwali, District Sirsa.

Learned counsel for the petitioner in the asking for the relief (supra) submits that the petitioner had earlier lodged a criminal case against the co-accused, on whose disclosure statement, he has been nominated in the instant case. He further submits that once there is a rivalry between the parties concerned, therefore, no occasion can



CRM-M-39594-2024

-2-

be arisen for the petitioner to deliver the arms, as alleged by the main accused in his disclosure statement.

Notice of motion for 12.9.2024.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Bhupender Singh, D.A.G., Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

In the meanwhile, in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to his furnishing personal and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall, however, join the investigation as and when called for and shall also abide by the conditions specified under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.”

2. Today, the learned State counsel has, on instructions imparted to him by the official concerned, stated that pursuant to the making of the hereinabove extracted order, the petitioner(s) had joined investigation and he is no longer required for further custodial interrogation.

3. In view of the above, the hereinabove extracted interim order dated 14.8.2024, is hereby made **absolute**, subject to the hereinafter extracted conditions:-

“(i) the petitioner(s) shall not commit an offence similar to the present offence;

(ii) the petitioner(s) shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case;

(iii) the petitioner(s) shall make himself/herself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required.”

4. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioner(s) indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be



CRM-M-39594-2024

-3-

confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.

5. Needless to say that anything observed hereinabove shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

September 12, 2024

ajay-1

**(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned. : Yes/No

Whether Reportable. : Yes/No