



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

226

CRWP-7751-2024

Date of Decision : **September 17, 2024**

SWEETY AND ANR

.....Petitioners

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present : Mr. Virender Soni, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. Abhinash Jain, DAG, Haryana.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J. (Oral)

1. Through the instant petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India read with Section 482 Cr.P.C., both the petitioners have approached this Court for issuance of directions upon the official respondents to protect their life and liberty at the hands of respondents No. 4 to 8.

2. This Court vide order dated 12.8.2024, passed the following order:-

“Petitioner no.1, who is already married, and having two children, without taking divorce from her earlier wedlock, decided to walk out from her matrimonial relationship, and started living in 'Live-inrelationship', with petitioner no.2, who is stated to be an unmarried major person, and having apprehension to their lives and liberty, filed criminal writ petition under Articles 226 of the Constitution of India read with Section 482 Cr.P.C. for issuance of directions to the official respondents no. 2 and 3 to protect their lives and liberty at the hands of private respondents no.4 to 8.

Notice of motion.



Mr. Rajesh Gaur, Addl.A.G., Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondents no.1 to 3, and waives service.

Notice upon respondents no.4 to 8, be issued, on furnishing the requisite process fee by the petitioners, returnable on within three weeks.

Adjourned to 04.09.2024.

In the meanwhile, the State is directed to evaluate the threat perceptions as prescribed in the instant petition, if any, to the petitioners, by filing a specific status report.”

3. In deference to the above directions, status report dated 16.9.2024 by way of affidavit of Sandeep Singh, HPS, Assistant Commissioner of Police, Murthal, Sonipat has been filed by the learned State counsel today in the Court, the same is taken on record. As per the status report, a thorough enquiry was conducted and the authority concerned has concluded that there is no threat perception to the life and liberty of the present petitioners at the hands of the private respondents.
4. In view of the above, no further direction is required to be passed in the instant petition. However, liberty is reserved to the petitioners that in case in future they have any threat perception, they will approach the authority concerned i.e. respondents No.1 to 3 and in case they approached and file representation, the authority concerned after evaluating the threat perception, shall take corrective measure.
5. **Disposed** of accordingly.

September 17, 2024
ajay-1

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned. : Yes/No
Whether Reportable. : Yes/No