

2024:PHHC:006063
CWP-7325-2017 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 16.01.2024

...Petitioner

Vs.

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA

Mr. Mrigank Sharma, Advocate for respondent No.4.

SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA, J.(Oral)

1. The issue raised by the petitioner's union is no more res integra in view of the judgment rendered by the Division Bench of this Court in LPA-1177-2016, titled as Harjit Singh vs. State of Punjab and others.
2. Keeping in view the above, it is now petitioner's union to claim against respective colleges the benefits which are due to them for the leave encashment of the non-teaching staff. It is made clear that if the benefits are not given, the concerned employees may avail the appropriate remedy as available under the Punjab Affiliated Colleges (Security of Service) Act, 1974, before the appropriate forum.
3. All pending misc. application(s) also stand disposed of.

(SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA)
JUDGE

rajesh

1. Whether speaking/reasoned? : Yes/No
2. Whether reportable? : Yes/No