

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-19455-2024(O&M)
Date of decision: 12.08.2024

Mool Raj

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI
HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL

Present: Mr. Vishal Mehta, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Vipin Pal Yadav, Addl. AG, Punjab.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

Served with the advance copy of the petition, Mr. Vipin Pal Yadav, learned Additional Advocate General, Punjab is present in Court on behalf of the respondents. At the outset, he, on instructions, submits for a fresh tender has already been floated by the Department, nothing substantive survives in the petition. Further, he submits that in view of the subsequent development, no penal action or any coercive measures shall be taken against the petitioner. Even, he would not be bound by the impugned order dated August 1, 2024 (P-1).

That being so, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that let the petition be disposed of in terms of the statement made by learned State counsel, as having been rendered infructuous.

In the wake of the position sketched out above, and in terms of the statements made by learned counsel for the parties, the petition stands disposed of.

(Arun Palli)
Judge

(Vikram Aggarwal)
Judge

12.08.2024
Rajan

Whether speaking / reasoned:
Whether Reportable:

YES/NO
YES/NO