



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

206

CRM-M-39611-2024(O&M)
Date of Decision: 28.11.2024

RAMANPREET SINGH ALIAS NISHU
VERSUS
STATE OF PUNJAB

....Petitioner
....Respondent

CORAM : HON’BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANISHA BATRA

Present : Mr. Shubhashish Kukreti, Advocate for the petitioner.
Ms. Ruchika Sabherwal, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

MANISHA BATRA, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Section 482 read with Section 528 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeking anticipatory bail in FIR mentioned below:-

FIR No.	Dated	Police Station	Sections
81	13.06.2024	P.S. Pasyana, District Patiala, Punjab	406 IPC

2. Vide order dated 14.08.2024 passed by this Court, the petitioner was released on interim bail and was directed to join investigation. Order dated 19.09.2024 is reproduced as under:-

“The present petition has been filed under Section 482 read with Section 528 of the BNSS for the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No.81 dated 13.06.2024 under Section 406 IPC registered at Police Station Pasyana, District Patiala, Punjab. The learned counsel for the petitioner inter alia contends that there is absolutely no evidence of the gold ornaments being pledged for an amount of Rs.5 lacs and of the refund of Rs.5 lacs without the corresponding return of the pledged gold ornaments.

Notice of motion for 19.09.2024. Mr. Prabhdeep Singh Dhaliwal, AAG, Punjab, present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of the State. In the meantime, the petitioner is directed to appear before the SHO/Investigating Officer to join investigation and in the event of his arrest, he shall be released on bail on his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of SHO/Investigating Agency, subject to the following conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) BNSS:-

(i) that the petitioner shall make himself available for interrogation before the investigating officer as and when required;

(ii) that the petitioner shall not, directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case as to dissuade him/her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;

(iii) that the petitioner shall not leave the country without prior permission of the Court and shall surrender his passport, if any.

Meanwhile, the State is directed to file an affidavit/reply as to the exact role of the petitioner and his co-accused alongwith the details of pending FIRs, if any, on or before the next date of hearing.”.

4. Learned State counsel on instructions submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation on 08.11.2024. His further custodial interrogation is not required.

5. Keeping in view the above facts and circumstances, without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the order dated 14.08.2024 granting interim bail to the petitioner, is made absolute, subject to compliance of usual terms and conditions requisite for grant of anticipatory bail.

(MANISHA BATRA)
JUDGE

28.11.2024
Deepak Patwal

1.	Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
2.	Whether reportable	Yes/No