



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(116)

CWP No. 19645 of 2024
Date of Decision : 14.08.2024

Meenakshi Khanna @ Meenakshi Kohli

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. R.S. Bains, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Amarjeet, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Anu Chatrath, Additional Advocate General, Punjab with
Mr. Amarpreet Singh Bains, Asstt. Advocate General, Punjab.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

1. Learned senior counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that though the petitioner has secured 65 marks in the selection process and as per the instructions of the petitioner, the candidates having lower marks have been selected but no reason is coming forward as to why the petitioner has not been selected and nothing has come on record as to why the petitioner has been ignored, hence the petitioner had no other option but to appeal this Court.

2. On being asked as to before filing the petition, any information was sought from the respondent-State, the learned senior counsel submits that the representations dated 23.07.2024 and 03.08.2024 (Annexures P-9 and P-10) respectively have been submitted, which are still pending consideration with the respondents.



3. Notice of motion.

4. On the asking of the Court, Ms. Anu Chatrath, learned Additional Advocate General, Punjab with Mr. Amarpreet Singh Bains, learned Asstt. Advocate General, Punjab, who are present in Court, accept notice on behalf of the respondent-State and submits that in case, the representations dated 23.07.2024 and 03.08.2024 (Annexures P-9 and P-10) respectively have been received in the office of the competent authority and are still pending consideration, appropriate order will be passed within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order and in case, it is found that the petitioner is entitled for any relief, the same will be extended, otherwise, due reasons will be mentioned in the speaking order for not accepting the claim of the petitioner, which reasons will be conveyed to the petitioner for her information and necessary action.

5. Learned senior counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that in view of the statement of learned State counsel, the present petitions may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

6. Ordered accordingly.

August 14, 2024
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No