

2024:PHHC:014986

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

244

**CRM-M-47379 of 2021
Date of Decision:02.02.2024**

Sudesh Rani

....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUDEEPTI SHARMA

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. C. L. Pawar, Addl. A.G, Punjab

SUDEEPTI SHARMA, J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure asking for direction to respondents No.1 to 4 for registration of a case against respondents No.5 to 8.

2. The learned State counsel has filed a status report by way of an affidavit of the Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub Division, Kapurthala, which is taken on record. The relevant portion of the same is reproduced as under:-

“2. That petitioner has alleged in the present petition that false FIR No.63 dated 09.06.2018 was registered against her son by respondents No.5 and 6 and later on allegations in the said FIR were found false.

3. That petitioner has filed complaint bearing No. 162 SH dated 19.09.2020 before DGP Punjab against Ved Parkash,

Deepak Pal, Arun Pal and Sajan for mentally torturing and causing harassment for the last 10 years and tearing the clothes of petitioner as such petitioner requested to take action against them.

4. That petitioner has also filed complaint bearing No. 1665-SPC dated 04.12.2020, 108 PC dated 09.08.2021, 765-Email dated 21.12.2020, 192 PC dated 20.01.2021 and 731-P dated 04.03.2021 against private respondents as such she requested to take legal action against them.

5. That enquiry into the abovesaid complaints were entrusted by SSP Kapurthala to then DSP Sub-Division Kapurthala.

6. That during enquiry into complaint as mentioned in Annexure P-1, enquiry officer has held that from the statement of witnesses recorded at the place of occurrence and evidence produced by both the parties it transpired that minor verbal altercation were exchanged between petitioner and private respondents on 10.08.2020 and no physical harm was caused by any party and it further transpired that petitioner has levelled allegations that her clothes were torn by private respondents, however upon enquiry and after recording the statement of witnesses, no such fact come on record.

7. That during enquiry MLR bearing No.404/TM/CH/KPT/20 dated 10.08.2020 produced by petitioner and one MLR bearing No.09/GSK/CH/KS/20 dated 10.08.2020 produced by Antia Rani wife of Arunpal and they requested to take action on the basis of MLR, however upon visiting the place of occurrence and after

recording the statement of witnesses it has come on record that petitioner and private respondents were not holding any weapon in their hands and no physical harm was caused to anybody hence enquiry officer held that no action is required to be taken on complaint of petitioner hence present petition is liable to be dismissed.

8. That other complaints of petitioner were also closed after due enquiry and now no complaint or enquiry is pending before answering respondent qua petitioner and private respondents hence present petition is liable to be dismissed.”

3. In view of the above reproduced status report filed by the respondent-State and the complaints filed by the petitioner have been dealt with, therefore, the present petition has been rendered infructuous.

4. Dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.

5. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

(SUDEEPTI SHARMA)
JUDGE

February 02, 2024
dinesh

Whether speaking	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No