

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-17973-2023(O&M)
Date of decision: 22.04.2024

Som Nath
... Petitioner
Versus
State of Punjab and others
... Respondents

CORAM: HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI
HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL

Present: Mr. Vardaan Seth, Advocate, for
Mr. Anurag Chopra, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Shekhar Verma, Addl. AG, Punjab.
Mr. M.L. Saggar, Senior Advocate, with
Ms. Armaan Saggar, Advocate, for respondent No.4.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

Learned State counsel, on instructions, submits that the contract in question was awarded to the petitioner (Som Nath), and the work had since been executed. Even, qua the subsequent extension of contract for a period of three months, the work has again been assigned to the petitioner. Therefore, he submits that nothing substantive survives in the petition and the same be disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

Accordingly, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that let the petition be disposed of as infructuous in terms of the statement made by learned State counsel.

Ordered accordingly.

(Arun Palli)
Judge

22.04.2024
Rajan

(Vikram Aggarwal)
Judge

Whether speaking / reasoned: YES/NO
Whether Reportable: YES/NO