



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(112)

CWP NO.19635-2024
DATE OF DECISION: 20.09.2024

Jagsir Singh

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM HON’BLE MR.JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present Mr.Vivek Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr.TPS Chawla, Sr.DAG, Punjab.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J, (ORAL)

1. In the present petition, the grievance is raised by the petitioner that without adjudging the eligibility of the private respondents, the promotion has been made to the post of Naib Tehsildar.
2. Learned counsel submits that some of the private respondents, who have been promoted substantively as well to the post of Naib Tehsildar, do not fulfil the requisite eligibility for promotion under rules governing the service, hence, it was essential for the respondents to first decide the eligibility of the candidates and then promote, which process has not been followed by the respondents while effecting the promotion of Naib Tehsildar.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the petitioner intends to approach the respondents by filing a comprehensive representation giving the details as to how some of the private respondents do not fulfil the requisite eligibility for promotion to the post of Naib Tehsildar and



the respondents be directed to decide the same keeping in view the rules governing the service as well as the decision taken by the department in that regard by passing appropriate speaking order.

4. Notice of motion.
5. Mr. Mr.TPS Chawla, Sr.DAG, Punjab, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.
6. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in case any representation is received at the hands of the petitioner, the same will be decided by the authorities concerned within a period of eight weeks from the receipt of the same by passing a speaking order and in case, it is found feasible to accept the same, the same will be done otherwise due reasons will be mentioned in the speaking order for not accepting the claim of the petitioner for his information and necessary action.
7. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned counsel for the respondents, the present writ petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.
8. Ordered accordingly.
9. As the petitioner is raising grievance with regard to certain employees qua their eligibility, before deciding the issue, due opportunity of hearing to the employees concerned, qua whom the petitioner is raising grievance, be extended and their stand should also be taken into consideration while deciding the claim of the petitioner.

20.09.2024
mamta

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No