



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

214

CWP-24329-2019

Date of decision : 02.12.2024

Rajbir Singh

....Petitioner

V/S

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present: Mr. Deepak Sonak, Advocate with
Mr. Raman Kumar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Saurabh Mohunta, D.A.G., Haryana.

NAMIT KUMAR, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner has filed the instant writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, claiming the following reliefs :-

*“A writ in the nature of Mandamus thereby, directing the respondents to consider the claim of the petitioner for regularization of his services under regularization policy dated 01.10.2003 (Annexure P-3). Petitioner is working continuously for the last more than 26 years and identically placed persons to the petitioner in the respondent department, who were appointed in the similar manner as the petitioner was appointed, have been regularized. The action of the respondents in not considering the claim of the petitioner is totally discriminatory and in violation of Article 14 and 16 of the Constitution of India. The case of the petitioner is squarely covered with the judgment passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in case of **Hari Nandan Parsad and another Vs. Employer I/R to Mangmt. of FCI***



CWP-24329-2019

-2-

and another reported as SCT 2014 (2) 234 (Annexure P-8) and judgment passed by this Hon'ble Court in case CWP No. 5710 of 2011 titled as Pawan Kumar and another Vs. State of Haryana and others decided on 19.07.2012 (Annexure P-9). It is further prayed that petitioner be granted all consequential benefits as well as arrears from the date of regularization of his services.”

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the case of the petitioner is squarely covered by the Division Bench judgment of this Court passed in ***LPA No.688 of 2021 titled as ‘State of Haryana and others Vs. Balwinder Singh and others’ decided on 02.12.2022*** which has been upheld by Hon’ble Supreme Court in ***SLP (C) No.4531 of 2023 titled as ‘State of Haryana and others Vs. Balwinder Singh and others’ decided on 24.03.2023.***

3. Learned State counsel despite his best efforts could not refute the abovesaid legal position.

4. In this view of the matter, the present petition is disposed of in the same terms as in ***LPA No.688 of 2021 titled as ‘State of Haryana and others Vs. Balwinder Singh and others’*** and let the case of the petitioner for regularization be considered within a period of 03 months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this Court and if he found entitled, the necessary consequential benefits be released to the petitioner within a period of 01 months thereafter.

02.12.2024
kothiyal

(NAMIT KUMAR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No