



124

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-38916-2024
DATE OF DECISION: 09.08.2024

JATINDER KUMAR CHAWLA ...PETITIONER

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB ... RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Mr. Naveen Bawa, Advocate for the petitioner(s).
Mr. Rajiv Verma, DAG, Punjab.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

This is a petition under Section 528 of The Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for issuance of directions to
respondent No.3 to restrain the respondent No.5 from calling the
petitioner again and again in his office on the pretext to conduct the
enquiry in the applications moved by respondents No. 6 to respondent
No.2 against the petitioner on similar set of allegations.

2. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits
that he will be satisfied, in case a direction is issued to respondent
No.3-Senior Superintendent of Police, Mohali to decide the
applications dated 17.11.2021 and 25.07.2023 (Annexures P-1, P3 and
P-5) filed within a time bound manner.

3. Without adverting to the merits of the case, the respondent
No.3-SSP, Mohali is directed to consider and decide the applications
dated 17.11.2021 and 25.07.2023 (Annexures P-1, P3 and P-5) by



CRM-M-38916-2024

-2-

passing a speaking order in accordance with law within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

- 4. A copy of order so passed be supplied to the counsel for the petitioner within one week thereafter.
- 5. Petition stands disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

09.08.2024
anuradha

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>