



129

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-19774-2024 (O&M)

Date of Decision : 14-08-2024

DXXXXX

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Simranjeet Singh Sidhu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Charanpreet Singh, AAG Punjab.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner had filed a complaint of sexual harassment which was decided, against which the petitioner had filed an appeal before the Appellate Authority on Sexual Harassment, Directorate of Health, Punjab, Chandigarh.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that as the appeal was not been decided, a representation was submitted for deciding the appeal but neither the representation nor the appeal has been decided yet, which is causing prejudice to her hence, the respondents be directed to decide the legal representation of the petitioner dated 07.08.2024, copy of which has been appended as Annexure P-1 in a time bound manner and shall decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Charanpreet Singh, AAG Punjab appears and accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

Learned State counsel submits that in case the representation (Annexure P-1) dated 07.08.2024 has been received by the Appellate Authority concerned, an appropriate speaking order on the same would be passed by respondents within a period of 8 weeks of the receipt of copy of this order on the said appeal. Learned State counsel further submits that in case, it is found feasible to accept the claim of the petitioner, the same will be accepted, otherwise due reasons will be mentioned for not accepting the claim of the petitioner in the speaking order to be passed, which will be conveyed to her.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of the learned State counsel, present set of petitions may kindly be disposed of as having been not pressed any further.

Ordered accordingly.

It is noticed that as the grievance of the petitioner is against respondent No.5, before passing any order on the appeal of the petitioner, due opportunity be given to respondent No.5 also to represent his case.

Pending application, if any, also stands disposed off.

14-08-2024
Sapna Goyal

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

NOTE: Whether speaking: YES/NO
Whether reportable: YES/NO