

CRM-M-39068-2024 -1-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-39068-2024
Date of decision: 30.09.2024

VIPIN DHINGRA AND OTHERSPetitioners

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHERRespondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present:- Mr. Jagdip Singh Rana, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Gaganpreet Kaur, DAG Haryana.

Mr. Pavan Malik, Advocate for respondent No.2.

.....

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

By way of present petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C., petitioners seek quashing of FIR (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise/settlement dated 13.05.2024 (Annexure P-2) executed between the parties. Details of the FIR are as follows:

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
64	08.03.2023	195-A, 323, 34, 506 IPC (325 IPC added later on)	Rewari City, District Rewari

2. With the intervention of respectables and elderly people of the society, the parties have arrived at a settlement vide compromise/settlement dated 13.05.2024 (Annexure P-2), which is duly signed by them. The matter was referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and

to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties. Learned Chief Judicial Magistrate Rewari, vide report dated 26.09.2024, has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure. In compliance to the order dated 12.09.2024, an amount of Rs.15000/- has been deposited with the Poor Patients Welfare Fund, PGIMER, Chandigarh and receipt thereof is annexed with the report.

3. Respondent No. 2 is represented by his counsel and does not oppose the compromise.

4. In view of the report of the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate Rewari, and in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in “Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another”, 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and “Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another”, (2014) 6 SCC 466, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping with the criminal proceedings pending, since the complainant has himself compromised the dispute with the petitioners/ accused persons.

5. In these circumstances, the present petition is allowed. FIR No. 64 dated 08.03.2023, under Sections 195-A, 323, 34, 506 IPC (325 IPC added later on) registered at P.S. Rewari City, District Rewari (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed on the basis of compromise qua the present petitioners.

30.09.2024
puneet

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |