

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.40840 of 2023

Date of decision: 19th February, 2024

Ravinder Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab & others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: None for the petitioner.

ASI Balbir Singh, for the respondent/State.

None for respondents No.2 & 3.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The instant petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing FIR No.0035 dated 28.02.2023 under Sections 336 and 506 of the IPC and Sections 25 and 27 of the Arms Act, 1959 registered at Police Station Division 2, District Pathankot along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 01.03.2023 (Annexure P-2) effected between the parties.

2. Vide orders dated 21.08.2023 and 24.11.2023 of this Court, the parties were directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate to get their statements recorded regarding the compromise arrived at, between them.

3. Report has since been received from learned Judicial Magistrate, 1st Class, Pathankot, in pursuance of the direction of this Court, wherein, the factum of the compromise arrived at between the

parties stands verified and confirmed. As per the report, compromise has indeed been effected between the parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and out of their free will and the complainant has also made statement to the effect that he would have no objection if the FIR qua the accused-petitioner is quashed.

4. The trial Court has annexed a copy of the statements of the parties, alongwith its report.

5. ASI Balbir Singh, who is present in person on behalf of the State, too submits that there are no other accused other than the petitioner and respondents No.2 and 3 are the only aggrieved persons in the FIR in question.

6. In view of the report of the learned Judicial Magistrate, 1st Class, Pathankot and the principles laid down by the Apex Court in **‘Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others’ (2012) 10 SCC 303**, and also by the Full Bench of this Court in **‘Kulwinder Singh and others v. State of Punjab and another’ 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052**, the instant petition is allowed. The aforesaid FIR and all consequential proceedings arising out of it qua the petitioner, are quashed.

7. Needless to say, the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

February 19, 2024
rps

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No