

**In The High Court for the States of Punjab and Haryana
At Chandigarh**

CRM-M-41009-2023 (O&M)
Date of Decision:- 21.03.2024

Godawari @ Leela Rani @ Godavary ... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another ... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GURVINDER SINGH GILL

Present:- Mr. Munish Puri, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Vishvajeet Singh Virk, DAG, Punjab.

Ms. Kajal, Advocate for
Mr. Akshay Jain, Advocate, for respondent No.2.

GURVINDER SINGH GILL, J. (Oral)

1. Petitioner-Godawari @ Leela Rani @ Godavary has approached this Court seeking quashing of FIR No.0022 dated 26.03.2016, Police Station Division No.1, District Pathankot, under Section 420 IPC, and all subsequent proceedings emanating therefrom on the basis of a compromise having been effected between the parties.
2. The FIR in question was lodged at the instance of SBI wherein it is alleged that the petitioner had fraudulently raised a loan by way of

mortgaging a house which already stood mortgaged with another bank.

3. It is the specific case of the petitioner that the matter has been amicably resolved amongst the parties and that the settled amount already stands paid. Learned counsel has produced a copy of document issued by SBI which shows that he has deposited the entire settled amount as per the settlement agreement dated 14.7.2023. The same is taken on record. Learned counsel has also drawn the attention of this Court to the settlement dated 14.7.2023 (Annexure P-2).
4. This Court finds that during the course of pendency of the petition, the matter had been referred to Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court wherein the SBI was represented through Ms. Jyoti Sharma, Chief Manager, Branch Dalhousie Road, Pathankot. As per the settlement/agreement (Annexure P-2) entered into by the parties before the Mediation and Conciliation Centre, a schedule of the agreed payment was made and it was agreed that pursuant to the amicable settlement, the bank would not have any objection for quashing of the FIR.
5. Ms. Kajal, Advocate, proxy counsel for Sh. Akshay Jain, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of State Bank of India and has endorsed the factum of compromise and has stated that the bank does not have any objection for quashing of the instant FIR.
6. In view of the aforestated position wherein the matter is found to have been amicably settled amongst the parties before Mediation and

Conciliation Centre of this Court and the bank has also received the entire settled amount and the counsel has categorically stated that the Bank has no objection for quashing of the FIR, the present petition, as such, is allowed and FIR No.0022 dated 26.03.2016, Police Station Division No.1, District Pathankot, under Section 420 IPC and all subsequent proceedings emanating therefrom are hereby quashed qua the petitioner.

21.03.2024
mohan

(GURVINDER SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking /reasoned	Yes / No
Whether Reportable	Yes / No