



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

124

CRWP-7720-2024 (O&M)  
Date of decision: 09.08.2024

Neetu Rani and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL**

Present : Mr. Arun Kumar Gupta, Advocate for the petitioners.

\*\*\*\*

**MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)**

1. Prayer in the instant petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, is for issuance of directions to respondents No.2 and 3, to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondents No.4 to 8, who are averse to their live in relationship.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioner No.1 aged more than 18 years and petitioner No.2 aged more than 20 years, are in a live in relationship, however, the private respondents who are their family members, have not been able to accept their live in relationship as a result of which they have been continuously extending threats of dire consequences to them. Resultantly, a representation dated 06.08.2024 (Annexure P-3) was also made to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala, for protection of their life and liberty but in vain. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners would be satisfied if directions are issued to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala to look into their



**CRWP-7720-2024 (O&M)**

representation dated 06.08.2024 (Annexure P-3) and take appropriate steps at the earliest.

3. Notice of motion.

4. On asking of the Court, Mr. Navdeep Singh, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents.

5. In view of the above, without commenting upon the status of live in relationship of the petitioners, the present petition is disposed of with directions to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala to look into the representation dated 06.08.2024 (Annexure P-3) *qua* the alleged threat perception and take necessary steps, as may be required, in accordance with law, to ensure that the life and liberty of the petitioners is not jeopardized at the hands of the private respondents. However, this direction will have no effect on any civil or criminal action, which could be initiated in the matter in accordance with law.

**09.08.2024**

Vinay

**(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)**  
**JUDGE**

|                           |   |        |
|---------------------------|---|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | : | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable        | : | Yes/No |