



CR-4544-2024

-1-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

131

CR-4544-2024 (O & M)
Date of decision: 12.08.2024

RAM MEHAR NOW DECEASED THR LRS AND ORS ...Petitioners

Versus

THE SENIOR MANAGER HSIIDC AND ORS ...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJBIR SEHRAWAT

Present:- Ms. Anita Balyan, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

RAJBIR SEHRAWAT, J. (Oral)

CM-13539-CII-2024

1. This is an application for impleading the legal representatives of petitioner-Kali Ram.
2. For the reasons mentioned in the application, the same is allowed, subject to all just exceptions. The persons mentioned in para 2 of the application are ordered to be impleaded as legal heirs of petitioner-Kali Ram, for the limited purpose of pursuing the present revision only. Amended memo of parties is taken on record.

CM-13540-CII-2024

1. This is an application for impleading the legal representatives of petitioner-Panmeshwari.
2. For the reasons mentioned in the application, the same is allowed, subject to all just exceptions. The persons mentioned in para 2 of the application are ordered to be impleaded as legal heirs of petitioner-Panmeshwari, for the limited purpose of pursuing the present petition only.

**CR-4544-2024****-2-**

Amended memo of parties is taken on record.

CM-13541-CII-2024

1. This is an application for impleading the legal representatives of petitioner-Sunil.

2. For the reasons mentioned in the application, the same is allowed, subject to all just exceptions. The persons mentioned in para 2 of the application are ordered to be impleaded as legal heirs of petitioner-Sunil, for the limited purpose of pursuing the present revision only.

Amended memo of parties is taken on record.

CR-4544-2024

1. The present revision has been filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India, for setting aside the orders dated 31.05.2024 (Annexure P-1) and 20.07.2024 (Annexure P-2) passed by learned Addl. District Judge, Jhajjar, vide which objections filed by the petitioners have been dismissed and warrants of attachment of the properties have been issued.

2. It is not even in dispute that the petitioners were paid the compensation at the enhanced rate at the time when the RFA(s) were pending. However, at the time of decision of the RFA(s), amount of compensation payable to the land-owners was reduced from Rs.14,56,000/- per acre to Rs.11,00,000/- per acre. The said order even stands upheld upto the Hon'ble Supreme Court. In pursuant to that reduction, the department concerned, which had earlier made payments at the enhanced rate, approached the Executing Court for return of the money. In those proceedings only, in order to recover the excess amount paid to the

petitioners, warrants of attachment have been ordered to be issued by the Executing Court.

3. Therefore, this Court does not find any ground to interfere in the matter, as such.
4. Dismissed.
5. Pending application, if any, shall stand disposed of.

12.08.2024
parveen kumar

(RAJBIR SEHRAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No