

210-2 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.40753 of 2023 (O&M)
Date of decision : 05.02.2024

Atul Sharma

..... Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE PANKAJ JAIN

Present :- Ms. Sehaj Sandhawalia, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Gaurav Bansal, DAG, Haryana.

PANKAJ JAIN, J. (ORAL)

1 On 21.08.2023 the following order was passed:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted it is a case where the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case and even otherwise also the case of the petitioner is similar to the other co-accused, namely, Jasmeet, who has already been granted the concession of interim bail by this Court vide Annexure P-4. She further submitted that so far as the present petitioner is concerned, he was also not seen in the CCTV camera footage.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Naveen Kumar Sheoran, DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

Adjourned to 24.11.2023.

To be heard along with CRM-M-31897-2023.

In the meantime, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation and to co-operate fully with the investigation process and on his doing so, the petitioner be released on interim bail, subject to his furnishing personal bonds and surety to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer. However, the petitioner shall continue to join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and shall abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

- 2

Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Kuldeep Singh has stated that pursuant to the order dated 21.08.2023 the petitioner has not joined the investigation.
- 3

Learned counsel for the petitioner pleads no instructions.
- 4

In view of above, present petition is dismissed.

05.02.2024
Pooja sharma-I

(PANKAJ JAIN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable :

Yes
No