



258

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRR(F)-174-2022 (O&M)
DATE OF DECISION: 15.05.2024

SUNIL KUMAR ALIAS PIARA

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

SUNITA RANI AND ANOTHER

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

Present: - Ms. Chandanpreet Kaur Ahluwalia, Advocate for
Mr. Vipin Mahajan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

.....

SUMEET GOEL, J (ORAL)

On 30.11.2022 the following order was passed:

*“Counsel for the petitioner submits that there is a possibility of settlement between the parties. He submits that the petitioner is ready to bear the travelling and litigation expenses on behalf of his wife-respondent No.1 in case notice is issued to her.
Let the petitioner deposit a demand draft of Rs.20,000/- drawn in the name of the respondent No.1 with the Registrar General of this Court. Thereafter, issue notice to respondent No.1 for 14.01.2023 for the sole purpose of exploring the possibility of settlement between the parties.”*

Today learned counsel appearing for the petitioner has submitted that the abovesaid demand draft of Rs.20,000/- was not deposited by the petitioner in terms of the abovesaid order.

Accordingly, notice could not be issued to the respondents as the abovesaid order dated 30.11.2022 is preemptory in nature. No cause is shown, even today, for non-compliance of order dated 30.11.2022 even after about one and half years of passing of said order.

Ergo, dismissed for non- prosecution.



Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed off.

15.05.2024
mahima

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No