



211 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-6039-2017 (O&M)

Date of Decision : 29-10-2024

JOGINDER KAUR

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Ashish Aggarwal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Amarpreet Singh Bains, AAG Punjab.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (Oral)

In the present petition, the petitioner is claiming the service benefits rendered by her late husband namely Jaimal Singh.

Learned counsel for the respondents submits that as per the reply, the respondents have raised the plea that Jaimal Singh was never appointed but he served the Institution on the basis of a forged appointment letter hence, no benefit of the service rendered by Jaimal Singh on the basis of a forged appointment letter, can be extended to the petitioner hence, as disputed question of facts have arisen in the present petition, faced with this situation, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner intends to approach the Civil Court to prove the fact that the appointment of the husband of the petitioner was valid and legal hence, the present petition may kindly be disposed of as having been not pressed any further with liberty to file appropriate remedy before the Civil Court.

Ordered accordingly.

Pending application, if any, also stands disposed of.

29-10-2024

Sapna Goyal

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

NOTE: Whether speaking: YES/NO
Whether reportable: YES/NO