



CRWP-7690-2024

1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

(239)

CRWP-7690-2024  
Date of Decision : 14.10.2024

Vijay ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: Mr. Navdeep Singh, Advocate for  
Mr. Randeep S. Dhull, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Abhinash Jain, DAG, Haryana.

\*\*\*\*

KULDEEP TIWARI, J.(ORAL)

1. Through the instant criminal writ petition, filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, read with Section 3 of the Haryana Good Conduct Prisoners (Temporary Release) Act, 2022, for issuance of a writ seeking quashing of order dated 20.05.2024 (Annexure P-1), passed by Superintendent of Jail, District Jail, Karnal, whereby, the prayer of the petitioner for grant of parole has been declined.
2. Today learned State counsel, at the outset, submits that the case of the present petitioner has now been sent to the competent authority for reconsideration for grant of parole for ten weeks, vide letter dated 09.10.2024, to the District Magistrate, Kaithal for his report/recommendations. A copy of which has also been sent to the Commissioner, Karnal Division, Karnal (i.e. the competent authority to grant parole/furlough to the convicts) to decide the regular parole release case of the petitioner/convict. He further submits

that the competent authority would decide the fresh application of the petitioner within one month from today.

3. In view of the stand taken by the learned State counsel, this Court refrains itself from adjudicating the legality of the impugned order and therefore, a mandamus is passed upon the authorities concerned, who is ceased of the application to decide the same within one month from today.

4. **Disposed of** accordingly.

(KULDEEP TIWARI)  
JUDGE

October 14, 2024  
Manpreet

|                           |   |        |
|---------------------------|---|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | : | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable        | : | Yes/No |