



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-38839-2024
Date of decision: 09.12.2024

GURWINDER SINGH BEDIPETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER ...RESPONDENTS

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present: - Mr. Vicky Sharma, Advocate and
Mr. K.S. Dhaliwal, Advocate for
Mr. Kanwalpreet Singh Virk, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Amit Shukla, AAG, Punjab

Mr. Kunal Jindia, Advocate for
Mr. Parvesh Malik, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

By way of present petition filed under Section 528 of BNSS, 2023, petitioner seeks quashing of FIR (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 06.08.2024 (Annexure P-2) executed between the parties. Details of the FIR (Annexure P-1) are as follows:

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
59	26.03.2022	406, 420 of IPC and Section 13 of Punjab Travel Professionals Regulation Act, 2014.	Phase-I, SAS Nagar Mohali.

2. With the intervention of respectables and elderly people of the society, the parties have arrived at a settlement vide compromise deed dated 06.08.2024 (Annexure P-2), which is duly signed by them. The matter was referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and to



CRM-M-38839-2024

2

report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties. Learned Chief Judicial Magistrate- cum-Addl. Civil Judge (Senior Division), S.A.S. Nagar, Mohali, vide report dated 21.09.2024 has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure. The petitioner have also deposited cost of Rs.5,000/- in compliance of order dated 12.08.2024.

3. Respondent No. 2 is represented by his counsel and does not oppose the compromise.

4. In view of the report of the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate-cum-Addl. Civil Judge (Senior Division), S.A.S. Nagar, Mohali, and in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in “Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another”, 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and “Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another”, (2014) 6 SCC 466, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping the criminal proceedings pending, since the complainant has himself compromised the dispute with the petitioner/ accused person.

5. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. FIR No. 59 dated 26.03.2022 under Sections 406, 420 of IPC and Section 13 of Punjab Travel Professionals Regulation Act, 2014, registered at Police Station Phase-I, SAS Nagar Mohali, and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed on the basis of compromise qua the present petitioner.

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

09.12.2024

Kanika

KANIKA
2024.12.11 10:34
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this
order/judgment

i) Whether speaking/reasoned?
ii) Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No