



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-38621-2024
Date of Decision : 30.09.2024

ANMOL SHARMA

.....Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present : Mr. K.H.S.Bath, Advocate,
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Raghav Garg, AAG, Punjab.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J.(Oral)

1. On 09.08.2024, this Court had passed the hereinafter
extracted order, upon the instant petition:-

- “1. Through the instant petition, as instituted under Section 482 of the B.N.S.S., 2023, the petitioner seeks the concession of anticipatory bail, in case FIR No.56 dated 20.03.2024, under Section 36(1) of Punjab Apartment and Property Regulation Act, 1995, registered at P.S. Jamalpur, District Ludhiana.
2. The learned counsel for the petitioner inter alia submits that the instant FIR is the outcome of the order dated 11.09.2020 passed by the Chief Administrator, GLADA, Ludhiana, whereagainst an appeal has already been preferred by the petitioner, which is pending consideration.
3. Notice of motion for 30.09.2024.
4. Mr. Pardeep Bajaj, D.A.G., Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State of Punjab.
5. In the meantime, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation and to appear before the investigating agency, as and when called upon to do so. In the event of his arrest, he shall be admitted to interim bail on his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall abide by the terms and conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. ”

2. Today, the learned State counsel has, on instructions
imparted to him by the official concerned, stated that pursuant to the

making of the hereinabove extracted order, the petitioner(s) had joined investigation and he is no longer required for custodial interrogation.

3. In view of the above, the hereinabove extracted interim order dated 09.08.2024, is hereby made **absolute**, subject to the hereinafter extracted conditions:-

“(i) the petitioner(s) shall not commit an offence similar to the present offence;

(ii) the petitioner(s) shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case;

(iii) the petitioner(s) shall make himself/herself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required.”

4. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioner(s) indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.

5. Needless to say that anything observed hereinabove shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

6. All pending application(s), if any, also stand **disposed** of accordingly.

September 30, 2024

dharamvir

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned. : Yes/No

Whether Reportable. : Yes/No