

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-23761-2019(O&M)
Date of decision: 16.02.2024

B.S. Gautam and others
... Petitioners
Versus
Haryana Shehri Vikas Pradhikaran (HSVP) and another
... Respondents

CORAM: HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI
HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL

Present: Mr. V.K. Jindal, Senior Advocate, with
Mr. Akshay Jindal and Mr. Pankaj Gautam, Advocates,
for the petitioners.
Mr. Ankur Mittal, Advocate, and
Ms. Kushaldeep Kaur and Mr. Sanjeev Majra, Advocates,
for the respondents.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

On January 24, 2024, this Court had passed the following order:

“Concededly, the petitioners were allotted a residential plot/site in Sector 25, Part-II-A, Panipat in the year 2002. It is not in dispute either that they have already deposited the entire sale consideration and were put in possession. The limited grievance that they have is: that despite this the conveyance deed has not been executed in their favour. And, they have also not been permitted to construct the allotted site.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that as the sanctity of the allotment process was doubted, a vigilance inquiry was ordered and after thorough probe, no irregularity was found in the allotment of site/plot in favour of the petitioners. Thus, there is no justifiably reasons to still not execute the conveyance deed and

grant necessary approvals/permissions to construct the site. It is urged that allotment is of the year 2002 and nearly two decades have gone by and resultantly, the rights and interest of the petitioners are severally impacted and they continue to suffer.

Faced with this, learned counsel for the respondents-HSVP prays for a short accommodation to seek instructions from the Chief Administrator and respond, failing which, appropriate order shall follow.

Adjourned to 29.01.2024.”

Today, the learned counsel for the respondents, on instructions, submits that pursuant to the allotment process in question, the industrial sites/plots were to be allotted only to the industrial workers in Industrial Estate, Panipat. Thus, the limited concern of the authorities has been that only the genuine and rightful claimants are the beneficiaries of such allotment. Accordingly, to ensure that no bogus, in-genuine or false claim is entertained, the petitioners and alike are required to submit certain documents such as salary certificate etc. He submits that the necessary communication as regards the documents that are required to be submitted by the petitioners, would be issued within a week from today. And the petitioners would be afforded an adequate opportunity to comply with the said requirement. Whereafter, on submission of the documents that would be asked for, the authorities would execute the conveyance deed in their favour within a period of 8 weeks from the submission of those documents.

In response, learned Senior counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners, who have been diligently pursuing their cause, for sure would furnish the necessary documents within the stipulated time. Accordingly, he

submits that nothing substantive survives in the petition and the same be disposed of in terms of the statement made by learned counsel for the respondents.

In the wake of the position sketched out above, we are not required to delve any further into the merit. Accordingly, in terms of the statements made by learned counsel for the parties, the petition is disposed of.

(Arun Palli)
Judge

(Vikram Aggarwal)
Judge

16.02.2024
Rajan

Whether speaking / reasoned:	YES/NO
Whether Reportable:	YES/NO