



CRWP-7675-2024

-1-

110

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-7675-2024

Date of Decision: August 09, 2024

Shubham and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present: Mr.K.L.Saini, Advocate
for the petitioners.

.....

RAJESH BHARDWAJ, J.(ORAL)

Present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for directing respondents no.2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners from the hands of respondents no.4 to 6 and further directing respondents No.4 to 6 not to harass or interfere in the peaceful married life of petitioners. It is further prayed to direct respondents No.2 and 3 not to entertain any criminal complaint against the petitioners, which is opposed to the spirit of the matrimonial alliance between both the petitioners. It is further prayed that petitioners be granted facility of protection home for some days.

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that both the petitioners are major and have married against the wishes of respondents no.4 to 6 and have sought protection to their life and liberty. They apprehend danger from respondents no.4 to 6. Their marriage certificate and

**CRWP-7675-2024****-2-**

photographs evidencing their marriage are on record as Annexures P-3 and P-4. The petitioners have submitted a representation dated 06.08.2024 (Annexure P-5) to respondent no.2.

Notice of motion to respondents no.1 to 3-State only.

On asking of the Court, Mr.Sumit Jain, Additional Advocate General, Haryana, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents/State.

Without entering upon an exercise to evaluate the evidentiary value of the documents placed on the file, I dispose of the petition with a direction to respondent no.2-Superintendent of Police, Kurukshetra, to decide the representation (Annexure P-5) of the petitioners and grant them protection, if any threat to their life and liberty is perceived. It is clarified that this order shall not be taken to grant immunity to the petitioners from legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by them. This order would not be understood having expressed any opinion whatsoever by this Court on the validity of the marriage of the petitioners.

August 09, 2024

meenuss

**(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE**

- 1. Whether speaking/reasoned ?**
- 2. Whether reportable ?**

**Yes/No
Yes/No**