



CRWP-7637-2024

-1-

110

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-7637-2024

Date of Decision: August 08, 2024

Mandeep Kaur and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present: Mr.Atul Kumar Sokal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

.....

RAJESH BHARDWAJ, J.(ORAL)

1. Present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India read with Section 482 Cr.P.C. for directing respondents No.2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners from the hands of respondents No.4 and 6. It is further prayed to issue appropriate directions to official respondents to ensure the security of life and liberty of the petitioners, which is in eminent danger at the hands of respondents No.4 and 6 and other relatives and not to harass the petitioners at the instance of any complaint filed by them and other relatives.

2. It has been submitted by learned counsel for the petitioners that petitioner No.1 is unmarried whereas petitioner No.2 was earlier married, however, his marriage has already been dissolved by a Panchayati divorce and petitioner No.2 and her earlier wife were living separately for the last

**CRWP-7637-2024****-2-**

marriage and now both the petitioners are living together in live-in-relationship against the wishes of respondents No.4 and 6 and have sought protection to their life and liberty. They apprehend danger from respondents No.4 and 6. The petitioners have submitted a representation, dated 06.08.2024 (Annexure P-3) to respondent No.2.

3. Notice of motion to respondents no.1 to 3-State only.
4. On the asking of the Court, Mr.Sumit Jain, Additional Advocate General, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondents/State.
5. Without entering upon an exercise to evaluate the evidentiary value of the documents placed on the file, I dispose of the petition with a direction to respondent no.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Ambala, to decide the representation (Annexure P-3) of the petitioners and grant them protection, if any threat to their life and liberty is perceived. It is clarified that this order shall not be taken to grant immunity to the petitioners from legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by them. This order would not be understood having expressed any opinion whatsoever by this Court on the validity of their live-in-relationship.

August 08, 2024

meenuss

**(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE**

- | | |
|--------------------------------|--------|
| 1. Whether speaking/reasoned ? | Yes/No |
| 2. Whether reportable ? | Yes/No |