

2024:PHHC:018172

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

212 **COCp-2457-2021**
Date of decision: 08.02.2024

NAPINO AUTO AND ELECTORNICS LIMITED AND ANR
...Petitioners

Versus

ANURAG AGARWAL AND ANR ...Respondents

CORAM : HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJBIR SEHRAWAT

Present:- Mr. H.L.Tikku, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Paramveer Singh, Advocate for
Mr. Manav Bajaj, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Siddhanth Arora, Advocate for
Mr. Ankur Mittal, Advocate,
for the respondent-HSIIDC.

RAJBIR SEHRAWAT, J. (Oral)

1. This is a contempt petition filed under Sections 10 and 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, for punishing the respondents for disobeying the order dated 14.09.2018 passed in CM-9006-2017 in CWP-508-2008.
2. Compliance report by way of affidavit of Yash Garg, IAS, Managing Director, HSIIDC, filed in the Registry, is taken on record.
3. Perusal of the compliance report shows that the refundable amount has actually been paid to the petitioners.
4. In view of the above, this Court does not find any ground to continue with the present petition.
5. Dismissed.
6. However, if any grievance of the petitioners is left un-addressed, they would be at liberty to raise the same in any other alternate remedy, but in accordance with law.

08.02.2024 **(RAJBIR SEHRAWAT)**
parveen kumar **JUDGE**

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No