



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

110

CRM-M-38577-2024

Date of Decision: 09.08.2024

ARUN

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE KIRTI SINGH

Present:- Mr. Neeraj Jain, Advocate for the petitioner.

KIRTI SINGH, J.(Oral)

This petition under Section 482 of Cr.P.C. has been filed seeking direction to the respondent No.2 & 3 to decide the representation dated 01.08.2024 pending before the respondent No.2.

2. At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has filed a representation dated 01.08.2024 before the Police Commissioner, Jalandhar (Annexure P-1) raising his grievances as mentioned in the present petition but the same has not yet been considered and no action has been taken thereon. He further submitted that at this stage, the petitioner would be satisfied if appropriate directions are issued to respondent No.-2-the Police Commissioner, Jalandhar, to decide the aforesaid representation filed by the petitioner and take necessary action thereon.

3. Notice of motion.

4. At the asking of the Court, Mr. Randhir Singh Thind, DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent(s)-State and submits that the needful will be done in due course. Let a complete set of the paper book be supplied to her during the course of the day.



CRM-M-38577-2024

-2-

5. In view of the limited prayer made by the petitioner, without commenting anything on the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of at this stage with a direction to respondent No.2 to decide the aforesaid representation dated 01.08.2024 (Annexure P-1) of the petitioner expeditiously, by passing a speaking order in accordance with law.

6. Needless to say, if any threat is found to the petitioner, the official respondents would provide him adequate security in order to protect his life and liberty.

(KIRTI SINGH)
JUDGE

09.08.2024
Kavita Nain

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No