

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-18035-2023(O&M)
Date of decision: 31.01.2024

Rajender Singh
... Petitioner
Versus
Haryana Shahari Vikas Pradhikaran and others
... Respondents

CORAM: HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI
HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL

Present: Mr. Sandeep Kotla, Advocate,
for the petitioner.
Mr. Deepak Sabherwal, Advocate, and
Mr. Himmat Singh, Advocate, for the respondents-HSVP.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

A certiorari is prayed for, to quash office order/Memo No.A-3-UB-2022/158326, dated 19.07.2023 (P-17), with a direction to command the respondents to restore the site/plot in question in favour of the petitioner.

In reference to the impugned order/communication (**ibid**), learned counsel for the respondents-HSVP submits that apparently the petition is premature, for no formal/final order of resumption has been passed by the competent authority to date. Further, he submits that impugned communication is only an intra-departmental correspondence between office of the Administrator, HSVP, Panchkula and Estate Officer, HSVP, Rohtak. Pursuant whereeto, no action has been initiated against the petitioner so far. It is urged that before any adverse order or even an order of resumption is passed against the petitioner, he shall be served with a notice affording an opportunity to submit a response, and would also be heard.

Learned counsel for the petitioner is agreeable to the course suggested by learned counsel for the respondents. And submits, let this petition be disposed of in terms of his statement.

In the wake of the position sketched out above, and in terms of the statements made by learned counsel for the parties, the petition stands disposed of.

(Arun Palli)
Judge

(Vikram Aggarwal)
Judge

31.01.2024
Rajan

Whether speaking / reasoned:
Whether Reportable:

YES/NO
YES/NO