



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-38909-2024
DECIDED ON: 03.09.2024**

PUSHPA KAUR AND OTHERS

.....PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL.

Present: Ms. Gurpreet Kaur, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Sukhsandesh Singh Chahal, A.A.G., Punjab.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

The jurisdiction of this Court has been invoked under Section 482 of Cr.P.C. for issuance of a direction to the respondents No.2 and 3 to investigate the case properly/fairly and add Section 307 IPC or any other appropriate sections of IPC and to arrest respondents No.5 to 17 in DDR No.19 dated 22.09.2023 under Sections 341, 323, 324, 148, 149 IPC, PS Ajnala, District Amritsar Rural arise from FIR No.172 dated 25.08.2023 under Sections 323, 341, 427, 148, 149 of IPC, PS Ajnala, District Amritsar Rural.

Status report by way of affidavit of Charanjit Singh, IPS, Senior Superintendent of Police, District Amritsar (Rural) on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3, has been filed, which is taken on record.

According to the status report, as mentioned in para 14 therein, it has been stated that one accused namely Bhola Singh was arrested on

21.08.2024, accused Gurlal Singh was apprehended on 22.08.2024, whereas the accused Manish alias Mani, as stated to be juvenile was arrested on 24.08.2024. All are in custody as on date, whereas another accused Davinder Singh applied for the grant of concession of anticipatory bail and the same was also granted vide order dated 12.08.2024 who has thereafter joined the investigation under the orders of the Court of Additional Sessions Judge, Amritsar on 12.08.2024. There are total 13 accused out of those, 3 have been arrested and co-accused has received the concession of anticipatory bail and joined the investigation.

In para 14 of the status report itself, it is also narrated that rest of the remaining 9 accused have also been tracked and effective steps are being taken to reach on logical conclusion in the instant case.

Learned State counsel has further referred to para 17 of the aforesaid status report, asserting that on the basis of report received from the Medical Board, offence under Section 307 IPC has not been attracted since none of the injuries was declared to be dangerous to life but has been recorded to be grievous in nature.

In the light of above, learned counsel for the petitioner do not wish to pursue the instant petition any further and seeks withdrawal of the instant petition, at this stage, as having been rendered infructuous.

In the aforesaid terms, the instant petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

03.09.2024
Mani Kumar

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned *Yes/No*
Whether reportable *Yes/No*