



210

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-40165-2024

Date of Decision: 23.08.2024

Sandeep

...Petitioner

VS.

State of Haryana

...Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat

Present : Mr. Sachin Kaushik, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajinder Kumar Banku, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has filed the instant petition under Section 439 of the Cr.P.C. with a prayer to grant regular bail in case FIR No.348 dated 17.10.2023 registered under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471 of IPC, at Police Station Bahalgarh, Sonipat, District Sonipat.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has been falsely involved in the present case only on the basis of suspicion and even during the course of investigation, no incriminating material was collected against him. He further contends that the petitioner was arrested in the present case on 20.04.2024 and is in custody for the last more than 04 months. He further contends that the challan has already been presented against the petitioner and the trial has not even formally commenced against before the trial Court. Thus, further custody of the petitioner will not serve any meaningful purpose.



3. On the other hand, learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner on the ground that serious allegations have been levelled against the present petitioner and he does not deserve the concession of bail by this Court.

4. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

5. The petitioner was arrested in the present case on 20.04.2024 and is continuing in custody since then. Even the documentary evidence has already been taken into possession by the police and the trial has not even formally begun before the trial Court. Thus, there are no chances of early conclusion of the trial and further custody of the petitioner will not serve any meaningful purpose.

6. Without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate, concerned.

23.08.2024.
hemlata

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No