

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

203

CWP-5546-2017

Date of decision: 04.04.2024

SUBHASH CHANDER

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

UTTAR HARYANA BIJLI VITRAN NIGAM LTD. & OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present:- Mr. D.K. Tuteja, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Dhananjay Mittal, Advocate
for the respondents.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

Prayer made in the present writ petition is for seeking setting aside of the Memos No. 2033 and 2034 dated 28.10.2011 whereby a sum of Rs.1,05,249/- has been assessed for offence of theft under Section 135 of the Electricity Act, 2003.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent contends that the assessed amount has already been deposited and that the present writ petition has been rendered infructuous.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner, however, shows ignorance about the same and contends that his client was more than 71 years as on the date when the instant writ petition was instituted and that he is now moved to Gujarat and is no more in contact. Hence, he cannot verify the said submission made on behalf of

the respondent.

Without commenting on the merits of the present case any further, taking into consideration the statement made by the counsel for the respondent that the entire amount has already been deposited and that the petitioner has no further interest in pursuing the present writ petition, the instant writ petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous. Liberty is, however, granted to the petitioner to seek revival of the present writ petition in case the said statement is found to be incorrect or any cause of action still survives.

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

APRIL 04, 2024
Vishal sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No