

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CR No.4043 of 2022
Date of Decision: 01.04.2024

Meenu
...Revisionist-Petitioner

Versus

Arun Devgun
...Respondent

CORAM: HON’BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present:- Ms. Prarthana Duggal, Advocate appearing for
Mr. Namit Khurana, Advocate
for the revisionist-petitioner.

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner, as per the instructions from the arguing counsel, seeks permission to withdraw the instant revision-petition, while submitting that the same has become infructuous in view of the fact that the divorce petition, out of which it had arisen, has already been decided by the concerned Court below.

Resultantly, the revision-petition in hand stands dismissed as withdrawn for having been rendered infructuous.

01.04.2024
neetu

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes
Whether Reportable: No