

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

102

**2024:PHHC:021375
CWP No.544 of 2017
Date of Decision:15.02.2024**

Manjinder Singh

....Petitioner

VS.

Union of India and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: Mr. Akshay Bhan, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Neeraj Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner

Mr. Sudhir Nar, Senior Panel Counsel
for the Union of India

Mr. Ashish Kapoor, Advocate
for respondents No. 2 to 4

Mr. Rajan Bansal, Advocate
for respondent No. 5

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking setting aside of communication dated 28.11.2015 (Annexure P-4) whereby dealership of the petitioner has been terminated.

2. Mr. Ashish Kapoor, Advocate, at the outset, submits that the site in question alongwith equipments have already been allocated to another regular dealer, thus, claim of the petitioner is not sustainable.

3. Mr. Akshay Bhan, Senior Advocate, submits that petitioner had invested a huge amount for the installation of the petrol pump in question,

thus, the respondents may be directed to consider him for the allotment of any other location.

3. The petitioner has no right for the allotment of any other location. The petition stands disposed of with liberty to petitioner to approach respondents to consider him for allotment of another site as per applicable policy.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

15.02.2024
paramjit

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes	
Whether reportable:		No