

CWP No. 30808 of 2018 and
CWP No. 30722 of 2018

2024:PHHC:016928

1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(216)

CWP No. 30808 of 2018
Date of Decision : 07.02.2024

Vinod Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

The State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

(264)

CWP No. 30722 of 2018

Renu Bhardwaj

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Kshitij Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner
in CWP-30808-2018.

Mr. Manoj Kumar, Advocate for the petitioner
in CWP-30722-2018.

Mr. Saurabh Mohunta, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

Mr. Tapan Kumar Yadav, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

1. By this common order, two writ petitions being CWP No. 30808-2018 as well as CWP No. 30722-2018 are being decided by which, the same order dated 29.11.2018 has been challenged.

2. It may be noticed that the petitioner in CWP No. 30722-2018 was working as an Administrative Officer in the Department of Health and was sought to be repatriated to the Department of Food and Drugs Administration, where petitioner in CWP-30808-2018 was already working on the said post. In case, the impugned order was to be implemented, not only the petitioner-Renu Bhardwaj was to come back from the Department of Health to the Department of Food and Drugs Administration on the post of Administrative Officer but the petitioner, namely, Vinod Kumar was also required to be reverted.

3. Keeping in view the interim order granted by this Court on 05.12.2018, the impugned order has never been implemented and both the petitioners are working on their respective posts in the different department i.e. petitioner-Renu Bhardwaj is working on the post of Administrative Officer in the Department of Health and petitioner Vinod Kumar is working as an Administrative Officer in the Department of Food and Drugs Administration.

4. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that keeping in view the interim order passed by this Court, for the last more than five and half years, the petitioner(s) are already working on their respective posts, the said impugned order will not be given effect to any further.

5. Keeping in view the said statement of the learned State counsel, the grievance of both the petitioner(s) raised in the respective petitions renders infructuous hence, no further orders are required to be passed in these petitions as the petitioner(s) have been allowed to continue on their respective posts and the impugned order will not be given effect to any further.

6. Petitions are disposed of in above terms.

Any miscellaneous application, if any pending, is disposed of.

A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of connected cases.

February 7th, 2024 (HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
kanchan JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No